## "Even the Blind Are Able to See"

# An Exposition of Article Five

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Article 5 - The Authority of Scripture**

We receive<sup>1</sup> all these books and these only as holy and canonical, for the regulating, founding, and establishing of our faith.<sup>2</sup> And we believe without a doubt all things contained in them—not so much because the church receives and approves them as such but above all because the Holy Spirit testifies in our hearts that they are from God,<sup>3</sup> and also because they prove themselves to be from God. For even the blind themselves are able to see that the things predicted in them do happen.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> 2 Tim 3:16-17 <sup>3</sup> 1 Cor 12:3; 1 Jn 4:6, 5:7 <sup>4</sup> Dt 18:21-22; 1 Kgs 22:28; Jer 28:9; Ezek 33:33

John Calvin once declared: "The Scriptures obtain full authority among believers only when men regard them as having sprung from heaven, as if there the living words of God were heard." Since the Bible comes to us from the hand of God, through the agency of men inspired by the Holy Spirit, when we read the Bible or hear it read aloud, we can be assured that God is speaking to us. The Bible is God's word written and the same Holy Spirit who breathed it forth, assures us that the words of Holy Scripture are the very words of God.

We now skip ahead to Article Five of our confession which deals with the authority of Scripture.<sup>2</sup> But why defer discussion of Article Four which lists the canonical books of the Bible until later? The reason is a practical one. Recall that in Article Three our confession deals with the subject of the inspiration of Scripture. Scripture has its origin not in the will of humanity, but in the will of God. It is God who breathes forth his word (the Bible) through the agency of human writers without sublimating their individual personalities or negating the historical circumstances under which these books were written. This is what our confession means in article three when it speaks of God's revealed word being committed to writing.

Since Scripture is God-breathed, it is suitable for religious purposes, i.e., teaching, correction and training in righteousness (2 Timothy 3:16-17). Only in the Bible do we find the will of God fully revealed (the law) and only in the Bible do we find God's means of rescuing sinners from the guilt and power of their sins (the gospel). While in nature we see the hand of the Creator, we do not learn of the gospel by contemplating the beauty of a sunset. The gospel is only revealed in God's word written. This is why we as Christians have a sure and certain knowledge of God and of his will (unlike secularists and pagans), and why we base neither our doctrines nor our practices upon the mere opinions of men (the essence of all false religion). God has spoken to us in his word and we must listen to what he says.

The divine origin of Holy Scripture is what separates Paul's letter to the church in Galatia from his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Calvin, <u>Institutes</u>, I.vii.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or better, the witness of the Holy Spirit to authority of Scripture. Cf. Osterhaven, Our Confession of Faith, 41.

grocery list. Scripture has its origin in the will of God, while Paul's grocery list does not. Because God breathes forth Scripture, on that basis alone the various books of the Bible are rendered "canonical." Since God breathes forth Scripture for the express purpose of speaking authoritatively to his people, this necessitates the collection and circulation of these writings. This explains why the subjects of inspiration, authority, sufficiency and canonicity are so intimately connected and why articles three-seven of our confession are set forth in the order in which we find them. If we talk about the origin of Scripture, we must then identify which writings have their origin in the will of God. If we speak of inspiration, we must speak of canon.

According to the testimony of both Paul (2 Timothy 3:16) and Peter (2 Peter 1:18-21) the inspiration of Scripture extends to the entire Old Testament (the *graphe* and the *grammata*). This raises the question of the extent of the canon of the Old Testament, since one group of Jews (those centered in Palestine) accepted the thirty-nine books of the Old Testament as Protestants do currently, while another group of Jews (diaspora Jews, centered primarily in Alexandria, Egypt), recognized a canon of sacred books composed of the canonical books along with the fourteen non-canonical books, commonly known as the Apocrypha. This larger canon is also affirmed by the Roman Catholic Church, which Rome uses to justify doctrines such as prayer for the dead as well as erroneous conceptions of angels and demons.

Considering the canon of the Old Testament is an important matter, so too the matter also extends to the New Testament as well, since Jesus himself told his disciples on two occasions that additional revelation is yet to come. In John 14:26, Jesus declares, "but the Helper, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name, he will teach you all things and bring to your remembrance all that I have said to you." Then shortly thereafter, Jesus states in John 16:12-15,

"I still have many things to say to you, but you cannot bear them now. When the Spirit of truth comes, he will guide you into all the truth, for he will not speak on his own authority, but whatever he hears he will speak, and he will declare to you the things that are to come. He will glorify me, for he will take what is mine and declare it to you. All that the Father has is mine; therefore I said that he will take what is mine and declare it to you.

At the very least, these statements from our Lord imply that new revelation will be given which will later take the form of what we now know as the New Testament.

Once Christian churches are established throughout the Roman empire, the need arises for the gospels and epistles to be read aloud in the churches. But what books should be read? What books came through the means Jesus described in John's gospel? Only those known to be given under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit. When Guido De Bres wrote the *Belgic Confession* in 1561, a number of Anabaptists were claiming to be receiving continuing revelation from the Holy Spirit, which on a practical level were put on a par with the New Testament. This why the identity of the canon and the sufficiency of Scripture take on such a prominent role in the opening articles of our confession. Were the canonical books of the Bible enough, or must we accept Rome's expanded canon and stress upon tradition as a duel source for doctrine and practice? Must we accept the words of religious fanatics, who claimed that God was still speaking directly to them? The extent of the canon is as practical today as it was in De Bres' age, and just as it was in the New Testament era.

The bottom line is this—you cannot talk about the inspiration of Scripture without discussing the extent of the canon. But neither can you talk about inspiration without talking about the authority of Scripture and the way in which it is authenticated to us, as we find in Article Five. Clearly, Article Four of the *Belgic* 

Confession logically follows after the inspiration of Scripture. But since our confession discusses the canon and the apocryphal books in two separate articles (four and six), for the sake of clarity, we will take up the subject of the canon next time, when we work our way through both articles. But all of these matters are clearly related and you cannot talk about the one without talking about the others.

Article Five of our confession, entitled, "the authority of Scripture," deals with three closely related issues. The first issue is reflected in the title of the article, the authority of those writings breathed forth by God, while the second has to do with the means by which we know that these writings come to us through the self-revelation of God. As to the second matter, the same blessed Holy Spirit who breathed forth these writings bears witness to us that the canonical books did, in fact, have their origins in the will of God. Finally, the confession addresses the so-called internal testimony of Scripture and those external evidences by which we know on a factual basis that the Bible is the word of God.

Article Five of our confession reads as follows:

We receive all these books and these only as holy and canonical, for the regulating, founding, and establishing of our faith. And we believe without a doubt all things contained in them—not so much because the church receives and approves them as such but above all because the Holy Spirit testifies in our hearts that they are from God, and also because they prove themselves to be from God. For even the blind themselves are able to see that the things predicted in them do happen.

The first matter dealt with in our confession is the nature of the authority of those books breathed forth—identified for us in Article Four as the sixty-six books in our Bibles. Because these writings have their origin in the will of God and have been breathed forth by God through the agency of their human writers, these books and only these books have three very specific functions: the "regulation, founding and establishing of our faith."

Herein we have affirmed in a nutshell the essence of Reformation principle of *sola scriptura*. By this we mean (positively) that all doctrines we believe and confess must be based upon the clear teaching of Scripture. Negatively, we cannot bind anyone's consciences to things which are not taught in the Bible. Reformed Christians should base no doctrine on church tradition, human opinion, self-validating religious experience, or supposed new revelations from God, but only on the word of God written. This means that our confession of faith is authoritative only in so far as it reflects and summarizes the clear teaching of Scripture. We believe and confess those things set forth in our confession, only because and only insofar as, they are taken directly from Holy Scripture.

As to the first of these specifics mentioned by our confession, which is the regulation of our faith, in 2 Timothy 3:16, we have already seen that Paul clearly connects Scripture's divine origin to it's regulative function—rebuking, correcting, and training in righteousness. It is the Bible that regulates (or determines) what we are to believe about those matters which God addresses in his word. Furthermore the Bible is said to be the foundation of our faith, in the sense that the law and the gospel can only be found in God's word, not in nature, nor in human opinion. Take away the Bible, and as Calvin once put it "there will be no faith left." Why, because only in the Bible do we find the object of our faith, which is the person and work of Jesus Christ. Without God's revelation of his son and his saving purposes in his word, there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Calvin, Institutes, III.ii.6.

could be no such thing as saving faith, since saving faith can only arise in connection with the preaching of the gospel. Because we have the sure and certain word of God written, we need not fall prey to cleverly devised fables, tales, legends, and myths, all of which direct us away from the truth.<sup>4</sup>

When our confession speaks of the Bible as confirming our faith, we are reminded of the words of Hebrews 4:12; "For the word of God is living and active, sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing to the division of soul and of spirit, of joints and of marrow, and discerning the thoughts and intentions of the heart." The Bible is not like other religious books, which merely reflects the opinions and religious aspirations of their authors. Owing its origins to the will of God and not the will of men, the Bible is a living book, able to cut a living soul to pieces, because the living God speaks through it. The same Holy Spirit who inspired Holy Scripture, still speaks in the Bible, rendering it a living and active book. But the Holy Spirit also confirms the Bible's divine origin to us whenever it is read.

This brings us to the second issue addressed by our confession; the Scriptures are authenticated as such not by the church, but by their divine author, the Holy Spirit. What we mean by this assertion is that God speaks through his word in such as way as to confirm that the Scripture comes from him. As Scripture's divine author, God authenticates the Bible as his word written. This is what we mean when we speak of Scripture as self-authenticating.

The historical background to our confession's statement about the self-authentication of Scripture is the Roman Catholic Church's insistence that the authority of the church logically and historically precedes the authority of Scripture. This was a very significant point at the time of the Reformation, because so many of Rome's erroneous doctrines were based upon church tradition, rather than on God's word. It wasn't so much that Luther re-discovered doctrines found in the Bible, it was that Luther dared challenge the authority of the Pope and the traditions of the church using these rediscovered doctrines. According to Rome, the church determines the extent of the canon through ecclesiastical declaration, because the church's authority is before and above Scripture.<sup>5</sup> Since God inspires Holy Scripture, he alone is fit to bear witness to its divine origin and therefore its divine authority. It is Christ of Scripture who founds the church. The assent of the church to Scripture does not give Scripture its divine authority. Rather, the church can only recognize what Scripture already is—authoritative because God has breathed it forth.

There are several assertions in Scripture about its own self-authentication. In John 10:27, Jesus stated "my sheep hear my voice." In John 8:47, Jesus declares to the pharisees, "whoever is of God hears the words of God. The reason why you do not hear them is that you are not of God." Where do we hear God's voice? We heard God's voice in his word. In Romans 8:16, Paul writes, "the Spirit himself bears witness with our spirit that we are children of God." How does the Spirit do this? According to Paul, in 1 Corinthians 2:12-14,

Now we have received not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit who is from God, that we might understand the things freely given us by God. And we impart this in words not taught by human wisdom but taught by the Spirit, interpreting spiritual truths to those who are spiritual. The natural person does not accept the things of the Spirit of God, for they are folly to him, and he is not able to understand them because they are spiritually discerned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Beets, The Reformed Confession Explained, 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> DeJong, The Church's Witness to the World, 131.

.

The Holy Spirit bears witness to us that we are Christians, but also authenticates Scripture (spiritual words) to us, because he is Scripture's divine author.

This subjective (or personal) witness of the Holy Spirit to the authenticity of Scripture does not take place in a vacuum. The Holy Spirit bears witness to the facts of revelation. Our confession puts it this way: "[the Scriptures] prove themselves to be from God. For even the blind themselves are able to see that the things predicted in them do happen." The evidence for the inspiration of Scripture takes two forms, evidence within the Scripture itself (Scripture's testimony about itself), and evidence external to Scripture (factual evidence which supports the Bible's claims).

Since Scripture contains the account of God's redemptive acts (Adam and Eve, the covenant with Abraham, the Exodus from Egypt, the cross of Jesus Christ, as well as God's explanation of the meaning of these events) Scripture is necessarily grounded in the facts of history. The things recorded in the Bible are true, in the sense that the events mentioned in the Bible actually occurred in human history. If they did not occur, the Bible is bearing false witness. When our confession contends that even blind people can see the truth of the Bible, this means that the reason why people do not believe what it says or submit to its authority, stems *not* from a lack of evidence. On the contrary, people know the Bible is God's word because of the great evidence for it. Yet, they remain blind to the light the Bible contains because they want to remain blind. As Jesus once put it, "light has come into the world, and people loved the darkness rather than the light because their works were evil" (John 3:19).

While the factual nature of the Bible and evidences supporting the truth of the Bible are by themselves insufficient to bring someone to saving faith, nevertheless the witness of the Holy Spirit to the truth of the Bible does not add some new evidence to the truth of the Bible which miraculously causes people to accept the Bible as God's word. Rather, the Holy Spirit bears witness to the factual truth of the Bible in and through and the sufficient evidences which God has already graciously given. As B. B. Warfield points out, the Holy Spirit must enable us to accept the sufficient evidence for the truth of the Bible as coming from God, because otherwise human sinfulness will prejudicially prevent us from accepting these evidences as sufficient.<sup>6</sup> In other words, it is not as though people lack sufficient reasons to believe. They do not want to believe, even though the evidence tells them that they should. Until the Holy Spirit changes the human heart, the evidences for the truth of the inspiration of Scripture will remain absolutely ineffective. As Calvin once put it, "those who wish to prove to unbelievers that Scripture is the Word of God are acting foolishly, for only by faith can this be known."

But immediately after affirming this point, Calvin goes on to list both the internal and external evidence for the truth of the Bible because this evidence not only helps us overcome any doubts we may have, it also shuts the mouths of those who oppose the Christian faith. The catalogue of Christian evidences is so extensive that we could spend hours upon hours detailing them. There is the historical evidence for Christ's resurrection. There are over three hundred messianic prophecies in the Old Testament fulfilled

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> B. B. Warfield, "Introduction to Beattie's Apologetics," in <u>Selected Shorter Writings</u>, ed. John Meeter, Vol. 2 (Phillipsburg: Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Company, 1980), 94-99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Calvin, <u>Institutes</u>, I.viii.13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Calvin, Institutes, I.ix.1-13.

in Jesus Christ. There is the archeological evidence which consistently and universally points toward the historicity of even the most disputed of events in Scripture. Then, there is the amazing unity and agreement of the sixty-six books of the Bible, even though they were written over a fifteen hundred year span by various authors. We could go on and on. There is enough factual evidence for the truth of the Bible that a blind person can see it. But until the Spirit makes someone willing to accept what the evidence tells them, they will not believe. They'd rather be blind.

When our confession speaks of Scripture as containing the evidence for their inspiration within, we are referring to Scripture's own testimony about itself. There are a number of important assertions about the nature of Scripture found in the Old Testament, and it is certainly useful to consider them:

### (1) Scripture is Effectual:

"So shall my word be that goes out from my mouth; it shall not return to me empty, but it shall accomplish that which I purpose, and shall succeed in the thing for which I sent it" (Isaiah 55:11).

#### (2) Scripture is Eternal:

"The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God will stand forever" (Isaiah 40:8).

#### (3) Scripture is the guide for life:

"Your word is a lamp to my feet and a light to my path" (Psalm 119:105).

#### (4) Scripture is Infallible:

"God is not man, that he should lie, or a son of man, that he should change his mind. Has he said, and will he not do it? Or has he spoken, and will he not fulfill it?" (Numbers 23:19); "The fear of the LORD is clean, enduring forever; the rules of the LORD are true, and righteous altogether" (Psalm 19:9).

#### (5) Scripture is True:

"Your righteousness is everlasting and your law is true" (Psalm 119:142); "Yet you are near, O LORD, and all your commands are true" (Psalm 119:151); "All your words are true; all your righteous laws are eternal" (Psalm 119:160).

#### (6) Scripture is Perfect:

"The law of the LORD is perfect, reviving the soul; the testimony of the LORD is sure, making wise the simple" (Psalm 19:7); "Who can discern his errors? Declare me innocent from hidden faults" (Psalm 19:12).

## (7) Scripture is Powerful:

"Is not my word like fire, declares the LORD, and like a hammer that breaks the rock in pieces?" (Jeremiah 23:29).

## (8) Scripture is the source of wisdom:

"The unfolding of your words gives light; it imparts understanding to the simple" (Psalm 119:130).

## (9) Scripture is Absolutely Trustworthy:

"Every word of God proves true; he is a shield to those who take refuge in him. Do not add to his words, lest he rebuke you and you be found a liar" (Proverbs 30:5-6).

## (10) Scripture is Unchanging:

"Forever, O LORD, your word is firmly fixed in the heavens" (Psalm 119:89).

These are rather remarkable assertions. Yet the most important aspect of this self-authentication of the Bible is Jesus' own view of Scripture. How did Jesus view the Old Testament? Did he see it as the authoritative word of God? Absolutely.

For one thing, Jesus refers to the Old Testament as the word of God (*logon tou Theou*) in a number of verses (Matthew 15:6, Mark 7:13, John 10:35). These Old Testament Scriptures, the *graphe*, "cannot be broken," (John 10:35)<sup>10</sup> and are "truth" (*aletheia*) (John 17:17). They are to be seen to be without error in all matters which they speak (cf. Matthew 22:29 for example).

Second, Jesus affirms the Old Testament to be the very words of God. In Matthew 4:1-11, when Jesus is tempted by Satan, Jesus responds to the devil: "It is written, 'Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that comes from the mouth of God" (v. 4). In John's Gospel, Jesus is recorded as saying that "I gave them the words (rhemata) that you [the Father] gave me." It is clear, that for Jesus, inspiration extends to the words themselves. The Old Testament, as well as that which Jesus speaks, are the called "words of God." In fact, in Matthew 7:26-29, Jesus equates his words with those of God. In this context, Jesus declares that "all authority in heaven and earth has been given to [me]" (Matthew 28:18). In fact, because Jesus speaks the words that the Father has given to him, "Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will never pass away" (Matthew. 24:35). For Jesus, both the Old Testament and his own words, are truth and the very words of God.

Third, Jesus affirms that the word of God cannot be revoked (Matthew 5:18; Luke 16:17; Luke 24:44). The Bible has final authority in all matters of doctrine (Matthew 4:4,7,10; Matthew 21:42, Mark 11:17). In many texts Jesus uses the [γέγραπται - gegraptai] formula - "it is written." As Wenham notes, "there is a grand and solid objectivity about the perfect tense....'Here,' Jesus was saying, 'is the permanent, unchangeable witness of the eternal God, committed to writing for our instruction." According to Wenham,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> One of the best treatments of this is J. W. Wenham's essay, "Christ's View of Scripture" in Geisler, <u>Inerrancy</u>. Another significant work is R. T. France, Jesus and the Old Testament (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1982).

The Greek term here, luthanai is an aorist passive infinitive of the verb luo meaning "to break, nullify or set aside." See BAG, s. v. "Luo".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> J. W. Wenham, "Christ's View of Scripture," in Geisler, Inerrancy, 15.

divine authority is clearly implied in the expression *gegraptai*...already mentioned in connection with the temptations, but often used at other times (Matthew 11:10; 21:13; 26:24; Mark 9:12, 13; 11:17; 14:21, 27; Luke 7:27; 19:46). The inspiration and authority implied by these various phrases is applied not only to oracular, prophetic utterances but to all parts of Scripture without discrimination - to history, to laws, to psalms, to prophecies.<sup>12</sup>

According to Jesus, the Old Testament is the authoritative word of God because these words have been breathed forth by God.

Fourth, of great importance are Jesus' affirmations about various events of Old Testament history, frequently treated with ridicule. Jesus affirms the historicity of Jonah (Matthew 12:40), the historicity of Adam and the Genesis account (Matthew 19:4) and the historicity of Noah and the flood (Matthew. 24:37-39). Jesus clearly views Jonah, Adam, and Noah as historical individuals and teaches that the events associated with these men really occurred. Is Jesus right about this, or, is he, as some of the liberals say, accommodating himself to his poor dumb audience who didn't know that these things were myths? This was Warfield's point mentioned previously.

We believe this doctrine of the plenary inspiration of the Scriptures primarily because it is the doctrine which Christ and his apostles believed, and which they have taught us. It may sometimes seem difficult to take our stand frankly by the side of Christ and his apostles. It will always be found to be safe. <sup>13</sup>

Suffice to say, the Bible affirms itself to be the authoritative, infallible and inerrant word of God. The internal and external evidence confirms this to be true. This is why our confession states,

We receive all these books and these only as holy and canonical, for the regulating, founding, and establishing of our faith. And we believe without a doubt all things contained in them—not so much because the church receives and approves them as such but above all because the Holy Spirit testifies in our hearts that they are from God, and also because they prove themselves to be from God. For even the blind themselves are able to see that the things predicted in them do happen.

This not only summarizes what the Bible says about itself, and which is confirmed by overwhelming historical evidence, but this is the same view of Scripture believed and taught by Jesus. It is also the view of Scripture we must believe and confess to the unbelieving world around us. "The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God will stand forever" (Isaiah 40:8). Amen!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> J. W. Wenham, "Christ's View of Scripture," in Geisler, Inerrancy, p. 21.

Warfield, <u>Inspiration and Authority</u>, p. 128.